The outcome of the first 100 nasopharyngeal cancer patients in Thailand treated by helical tomotherapy
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Introduction

Helical tomotherapy is an intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) dedicated system with an integrated megavoltage computed tomography (MVCT) scanner for patient position verification. The helical IMRT is able to produce highly conformal dose distribution to large and complex target volumes such as in nasopharyngeal carcinoma and other head and neck cancers. Helical tomotherapy can lower the mean dose to the salivary glands, with improved dose homogeneity and conformity compared to other IMRT techniques. In our centre, step and shoot IMRT was the standard radiotherapy technique used in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma.
apy technique in most nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with curative intent treated since 2000. The helical tomotherapy unit, Hi-ART II (TomoTherapy Inc., Madison, WI) has been installed in March 2012. The aim of this study was to assess the treatment outcome in terms of loco-regional failure free survival (LRFFS), distant metastasis free survival (DMFS), overall survival (OS), and treatment toxicities of the first 100 non-metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients treated by this technique. Dosimetric details were also reported.

**Patients and methods**

We reviewed the first 100 patients with newly-diagnosed non-metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients treated with curative intent by helical tomotherapy between April 2012 and December 2015. Pretreatment evaluations consisted of physical examination, pre-treatment dental evaluations, and laboratory studies. Good bone marrow, renal, and liver function tests were required. Contrast enhanced computer tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the nasopharynx and the neck region, chest x-ray, and bone scan were performed. The diseases were staged according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging 2010, 7th edition.6

Target delineation was done according to RTOG 0225.7 The gross target volume (GTV) included the primary tumour and nodes larger than 1 cm in diameter or nodes with necrotic centres. Clinical target volume 70 (CTV 70) was equivalent to the GTV plus 5 mm margin. CTV 59.4 was defined as CTV 70 plus entire nasopharynx with retropharyngeal lymph nodes, pterygoid fossa, parapharyngeal space, inferior sphenoid sinus, posterior third of the nasal cavity and maxillary sinuses, skull base, and high risk nodal groups (upper deep jugular, subdigastric, midjugular, posterior cervical, and retropharyngeal lymph nodes). CTV 54 included the lower jugular and supraclavicular lymph nodes. Planning target volume (PTV) was created by adding a circumferential margin of 5 mm to each CTV. We also contoured the critical organs at risk such as bilateral parotid glands, brainstem, spinal cord, optic nerves and chiasm. For planning, the helical tomotherapy Planning Station (Hi-Art Version 4.2.3.9 TomoTherapy Inc., Madison, WI) was used with a Field Width (FW) of 5.02 cm, a Pitch Factor (PF) of 0.287, and a Modulation Factor (MF) of 3.0. ICRU83 recommendations were implemented for the optimization procedure. The dose prescriptions in our simultaneous integrated boost technique (SIB) were 70 Gy for PTV 70 at 2.12 Gy/fraction, 59.4 Gy for PTV 59.4 at 1.8 Gy/fraction, and 54 Gy for PTV 54 at 1.64 Gy/fraction. Treatment was delivered in five fractions per week for a total of 33 fractions.

Acute adverse events of concurrent chemoradiotherapy were evaluated at weekly visits using version 3.0 of the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE).8 Patients were evaluated for disease control, survival, and late toxicities of radiotherapy at 2–3 month intervals for the first 2 years, at 3–6 month intervals between the third and fifth year. Late toxicities were assessed by the RTOG/EORTC late radiation morbidity scoring system.9 At every visit fiber-optic endoscopy by an otolaryngologist has been done. CT scan of the neck was performed every 6 months in the first 2 years and annually thereafter.

OS and LRFFS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. OS was defined as the time from beginning of treatment to the date of death of any cause. LRFFS was defined as the time between beginning of treatment and local or regional recurrence/progression, or death due to nasopharyngeal cancer or due to unknown causes with undocumented site of failure. DMFS was defined from beginning of treatment to the date of diagnosis of distant metastases. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant, and all P values reported in this article are two-sided values, determined using Stata version 11 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

The results presented herein resulted from a retrospective study based on the analysis of medical records. This study was approved by the Ethics committee of Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University.

**Results**

A hundred non-metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients have been treated with curative intent by helical tomotherapy. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age was 51 years (interquartile ranges [IQR]: 42.5–57.0). Most patients (66%) had undifferentiated non-keratinizing nasopharyngeal carcinoma.

Treatment protocols for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in our centre include concurrent chemoradiotherapy plus either 3 cycles of induction chemotherapy (IC) or 3 cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy (AC). Of the 100 patients, all of them received
platinum-based concurrent chemoradiotherapy, either weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m$^2$ × 6 cycles (53%), cisplatin 70 mg/m$^2$ every 21 days × 3 cycles (11%), or weekly carboplatin 100 mg/m$^2$ × 6 cycles (36%). Forty-one patients (41%) received 3 cycles of IC, because of N2 and N3a disease in 29% and because of a waiting time for radiotherapy of more than 6 weeks in 15% of patients. Thirty patients (30%) received IC with PF regimen (cisplatin 100 mg/m$^2$ on day 1 or carboplatin with area under curve (AUC) 5 on day 1 plus 5-FU 1000 mg/m$^2$/d in day 1–4 every 21 days). Eleven patients (11%) received IC with TPF regimen (cisplatin 75 mg/m$^2$ on day 1, docetaxel 75 mg/m$^2$ on day 1, 5-FU 750 mg/m$^2$/d in day 1–4 every 21 days). We performed CT scan after 3 cycles of IC for response evaluation and planning radiotherapy. Fifty-nine patients (59%) received AC with PF regimen (cisplatin 100 mg/m$^2$ on day 1 plus 5-FU 1000 mg/m$^2$/d in day 1–4 every 21 days in 23 patients and carboplatin with area under curve (AUC) 5 on day 1 plus 5-FU 1000 mg/m$^2$/d in day 1–4 every 21 days in 36 patients).

With a median follow up time of 33 months (inter-quartile range, IQR: 25–41 months), the 2-year LRFFS, DMFS and OS rates were 94%, 96%, and 99% respectively (Figures 1–3). Ninety-nine patients were alive at the last follow up of whom 84 patients without any evidence of disease. No patients developed second primary cancer.
Acute and late toxicities of our study are shown in the bottom of Table 2. Acute grade 3 Pharyngoesophagitis and mucositis occurred in 51% and 37% respectively, responsible for a weight loss of more than 15% from baseline in 42 patients (42%) and with a nasogastric (NG) tube insertion in 10 patients (10%, in all during concurrent chemoradiotherapy). Five patients (5%) had grade 3 acute radiation dermatitis. No patient died during concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Late pharyngoesophagitis was of grade 1 and was registered in only 2% of the patients. We also found grade 1, 2 and 3 late xerostomia in 17%, 78% and 5% of the patients, respectively.

Dosimetric parameters related to conformity, homogeneity and organ at risk (OAR) sparing are presented in Table 1. All helical tomotherapy plans showed satisfactory conformity index and homogeneity index, being 0.89 ± 0.13 and 0.06 ± 0.07, respectively. Mean ± SD of D2% of spinal cord and brainstem were 34.1 ± 4.4 and 53.3 ± 6.3 Gy. Mean ± SD of D50 of contralateral and ipsilateral parotid gland were 28.4 ± 6.7 and 38.5 ± 11.2 Gy. The mean beam on time was 3.91 minutes (range = 3.53–4.21 minutes).

**Discussion**

Several studies have shown the benefits of IMRT, which can reduce dose to the surrounding organs at risk, mainly the parotids, and also allows for dose escalation to the tumour.10-14 The use of daily image-guided radiotherapy (IGRT) is necessary in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients in order to reduce marginal miss due to the very steep dose gradients towards the critical structures.15

Helical tomotherapy integrates both techniques, IMRT and IGRT, in one machine. Although randomized studies16-20 found level 1 evidence of superiority of static beam IMRT over classical 2- and 3-dimensional RT in terms of xerostomia, such evidence is missing for the rotational IMRT techniques, Helical tomotherapy being one of them.
So clinical evidence is warranted. The study from Leung et al. demonstrated outstanding 5 year disease control with acceptable toxicity for nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated by helical tomotherapy. Du et al. also reported excellent 3-year LRC, DMFS, and OS with minor acute and late toxicities with helical tomotherapy. The present data investigated the first 100 nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients treated by helical tomotherapy in Thailand. Our short term 2-year results are excellent with a LRFFS, DMFS, and OS of 94%, 96%, and 99%, respectively. This is comparable to the results reported from IGRT studies and other IMRT studies as shown in Table 2. Moreover, we have excellent results although more than three thirds (77%) of our patients had stage III to IVB disease.

We considered the parotid glands as the most important OARs in regard to quality of life of our patients. We followed RTOG 0225 protocol in that the mean dose less than or equal to 26 Gy should be achieved in at least 1 gland. This could reduce the degree of xerostomia and therefore we tried to keep the Dmean under 26 Gy whenever possible. Helical tomotherapy offered very good preservation of this organ as also shown by Van Gestel et al. who reported a parotid Dmean with helical tomotherapy of 21.7–24.1 Gy in an oropharyngeal cancer planning study and of 24.7 Gy as found by Broggi et al. Specific for nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated by helical tomotherapy, Yao et al. reported parotid glands Dmean of 30 Gy, whereas Du et al. used simultaneous modulated accelerated radiation therapy via helical tomotherapy and found that parotid gland Dmean of left and right side were 31.2 Gy and 31.0 Gy, respectively. Finally, Leung et al. reported a very low 22.1 Gy and 20.7 Gy of Dmean of the ipsilateral and contralateral parotid gland, respectively. Our study had slightly higher D50 of ipsilateral and contralateral parotid glands than the previous studies with 38.5 Gy and 28.4 Gy, respectively. This could be explained by the fact that 95% of patients in our study were node positive, of whom 60% had ≥ N2 disease. Despite the higher mean dose to both parotid glands, 2 year late grade 2 xerostomia only occurred in 5% of our patients, with no grade 3 or 4 reported. This 5% is consistent with the studies by Wong et al., Lee et al., and Lee et al. but is lower than the severe late xerostomia reported by Wang et al. and Kam et al., as shown in Table 2. In our study, acute radiation related side effects were mainly pharyngooesophagitis and mucositis. Grade 3 acute pharyngooesophagitis was the most common toxicity, higher than in the study of Du et al. However the patients in their study received concurrent treatment in 87% with different chemotherapy regimen and some of them received concurrent anti-EGFR therapy, whereas 100% of our patients received concurrent treatment with chemotherapy. Moreover, we found that our chemotherapy schedule had higher dose intensity compared to their study. However late pharyngooesophagitis was found in only 2% of our patients, and was only grade 1. Grade 3 acute mucositis was less severe and of lower incidence than in other studies as shown in Table 2. Grade 3 acute radiation dermatitis was found in 5% of our patients, these numbers are comparable to those from other series. Other OARs such as brainstem and spinal cord, could be treated within the dose constraint limits.

It has been reported that helical tomotherapy provided excellent conformity and homogeneity index in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and other head and neck cancers. We achieved excellent dose coverage of the three PTVs with homogeneity index and conformity index comparable to the other studies.

We conclude that helical tomotherapy achieved good target coverage in nasopharyngeal cancer patients with favorable dose profile to most of OARs. As such helical tomotherapy achieved favorable 2-year locoregional failure free survival, distant metastasis free survival, and overall survival, with an acceptable rate of moderate and severe acute toxicities, but minimal rate of late toxicities.
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