# research article

# Perfusion magnetic resonance imaging changes in normal appearing brain tissue after radiotherapy in glioblastoma patients may confound longitudinal evaluation of treatment response

Markus Fahlström<sup>1</sup>, Erik Blomquist<sup>2</sup>, Tufve Nyholm<sup>3</sup>, Elna-Marie Larsson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Radiology, Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Department of Experimental and Clinical Oncology, Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> Department of Radiation Physics and Biomedical Engineering, Radiation Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

Radiol Oncol 2018; 52(2): 143-151.

Received 17 January 2018 Accepted 4 April 2018

Correspondence to: Markus Fahlström, Department of Surgical Sciences, Akademiska Sjukhuset, SE-75185 Uppsala, Sweden. Phone: +467 02 869 961; E-mail: markus.fahlstrom@radiol.uu.se

Disclosure: Professor Elna-Marie Larsson has received speaker honoraria from Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany. Remaining authors declared they have no conflict of interest.

**Background.** The aim of this study was assess acute and early delayed radiation-induced changes in normal-appearing brain tissue perfusion as measured with perfusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and the dependence of these changes on the fractionated radiotherapy (FRT) dose level.

**Patients and methods.** Seventeen patients with glioma WHO grade III-IV treated with FRT were included in this prospective study, seven were excluded because of inconsistent FRT protocol or missing examinations. Dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI and contrast-enhanced 3D-T1-weighted (3D-T1w) images were acquired prior to and in average (standard deviation): 3.1 (3.3), 34.4 (9.5) and 103.3 (12.9) days after FRT. Pre-FRT 3D-T1w images were segmented into white- and grey matter. Cerebral blood volume (CBV) and cerebral blood flow (CBF) maps were calculated and co-registered patient-wise to pre-FRT 3D-T1w images. Seven radiation dose regions were created for each tissue type: 0–5 Gy, 5–10 Gy, 10–20 Gy, 20–30 Gy, 30–40 Gy, 40–50 Gy and 50–60 Gy. Mean CBV and CBF were calculated in each dose region and normalised (nCBV and nCBF) to the mean CBV and CBF in 0-5 Gy white- and grey matter reference regions, respectively.

**Results.** Regional and global nCBV and nCBF in white- and grey matter decreased after FRT, followed by a tendency to recover. The response of nCBV and nCBF was dose-dependent in white matter but not in grey matter. **Conclusions.** Our data suggest that radiation-induced perfusion changes occur in normal-appearing brain tissue

after FRT. This can cause an overestimation of relative tumour perfusion using dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI, and can thus confound tumour treatment evaluation.

Key words: perfusion MRI; radiation-induced changes; normal-appearing brain tissue; malignant gliomas

## Introduction

Radiation-induced changes in brain tissue can be divided into acute, early delayed and late effects.<sup>1-4</sup> Several publications suggest that vascular damage is the primary cause of acute and early delayed

effects.<sup>3,5</sup> Both acute and early delayed effects are considered reversible, manifesting as dilation and thickening of blood vessels, decrease in vessel density, endothelial cell damage and disruption of the blood-brain-barrier in normal appearing brain tissue.<sup>1,3,4,6</sup> Few studies have assessed radiation-induced changes in brain perfusion in normal appearing brain tissue after fractionated radiotherapy (FRT) or stereotactic radiosurgery.<sup>5,7-14</sup> Contradictory results have been published, however, perfusion techniques and post-processing methods differ extensively between studies. Overall, the published data show a reduction of both cerebral blood volume (CBV) and cerebral blood flow (CBF) after completion of FRT or single fraction stereotactic radiosurgery, with an inverse dose-response relationship.

Perfusion MRI is useful in the diagnostic evaluation of gliomas as well as for longitudinal response assessment and prognostication, with dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC)-MRI being the most widely applied perfusion MRI technique in clinical practice.15-20 DSC-MRI is also one of several physiological imaging techniques that has the potential to be incorporated into the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) criteria as proposed by the RANO working group.<sup>21,22</sup> DSC-MRI can assess perfusion parameters like CBV and CBF but has several limitations, leading to both quantification and reproducibility issues.18 These limitations are related to acquisition and post-processing of the data.<sup>15,16,18,23-26</sup> Generally, only relative measurements, i.e. normalised to a reference region in normal appearing brain tissue, are feasible in clinical practice.<sup>19,26</sup> However, this improves the reproducibility of measurements, which is important for longitudinal comparison.<sup>16</sup> Reference tissue can be defined in several ways, but most commonly, contralateral normal-appearing white matter (WM) is used.19,27 We hypothesize that vascular damages in normal appearing brain tissue secondary to radiation exposure can confound DSC-MRI measurements. Since DSC-MRI is an extensively applied perfusion imaging technique in brain tumours it is important to determine if normalisation to reference tissue is affected by radiation exposure. Consequently, the aim of this prospective study was to assess acute and early delayed radiationinduced changes in normal appearing brain tissue measured with DSC-MRI and the dependence of these changes on the radiation dose given.

## Patients and methods

#### Patients

Seventeen patients, 18 years or older, with newly detected glioma WHO grade III-IV proven by histopathology and scheduled for FRT and chemotherapy were included prospectively. This study was done in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethical committee (Regionala etikprövningsnämnden i Uppsala, approval number 2011/248). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All patients had undergone surgical resection or biopsy. Baseline MRI was performed prior to FRT (pre-FRT) and post-FRT examinations were scheduled consecutively after the completion of FRT (FRT<sub>Post-1</sub>, FRT<sub>Post-2</sub> and FRT<sub>Poet-3</sub>). The FRT was delivered using 6 megavolt photons with intensity-modulated radiation therapy or volumetric arc therapy. Concomitant chemotherapy was administrated daily during FRT with temozolomide followed by adjuvant chemotherapy starting 4 weeks after completed FRT according to Stupp et al.28 In cases of tumour progression or recurrence, a combination of temozolomide, bevacizumab and/or procarbazine, lomustine and vincristine (in combination further known as PCV) was administrated.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

Exclusion criteria were inconsistent or missing MRI examinations and/or deviation from a prescribed total radiation dose of 60 Gray (Gy).

#### Image acquisition

All MR examinations were performed with a consistent imaging protocol on a 1.5 T scanner (Avanto Fit, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) and included DSC perfusion and contrast-enhanced 3D-T1-weighted (3D-T1w) images.

#### **Imaging parameters:**

- DSC-MRI (2D-EPI, gradient-echo; Repetition time/Echo time/Flip angle = 1340/30/90; 128 x 128 matrix; 1.8x1.8x5 mm<sup>3</sup>; time resolution = 1.34 s; 18 slices). A bolus of 5 ml gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA) (Gadovist, Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany) was administered for a DCE-MRI and was also regarded as a pre-bolus to diminish the effects of contrast agent extravasation<sup>15,18</sup> for the following DSC-MRI. For the actual DSC-MRI, a second standard dose bolus of 5 ml GBCA was administered. The contrast agent was administered using a power-injector at a rate of 2 ml/s for the first injection and 5 ml/s for the second injection.
- 3D-T1w image (3D-gradient Echo; Repetition time/Echo Time/Inversion time/Flip angle = 1170/4.17/600/15; 256 x 256 matrix: 1x1x1 mm<sup>3</sup>: 208 slices)

CT imaging for radiotherapy planning was acquired with a Philips, Brilliance Big Bore (Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) with a voxel size of  $0.525 \times 0.525 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ .

#### Perfusion analysis

Signal to concentration time curves conversion has been described previously.<sup>29,30</sup> Concentration time curves were visually inspected before analysis. CBV was determined as the ratio of areas under the tissue and arterial concentration time curves. CBF was determined through deconvolution as the initial height of the tissue impulse function.<sup>24,29</sup> Deconvolution was carried out using standard singular value decomposition (sSVD) with Tikhonov regularisation with an iterative threshold.<sup>29,31-33</sup> A patient-specific arterial input function (AIF) was defined in the middle cerebral artery branches in the hemisphere contralateral to the tumour<sup>19</sup> in the pre-FRT examination, the same AIF was then applied to the patient's following post-FRT examinations. Contrast agent leakage correction was applied according the method described by Boxerman et al., 2006.<sup>34</sup> Vessel segmentation was performed using an iterative fiveclass k-means cluster analysis to exclude large arteries and veins.35 Calculation of DSC data was performed in NordicICE (NordicNeurolabs, Bergen, Norway).

#### Data post-processing

CBV and CBF maps were co-registered to the pre-FRT 3D-T1w images for each patient and examination using the SPM12 toolbox (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK). Planned radiation dose levels for each region were acquired by rigidly transforming the dose-planning CT (including related radiation dose plans) to the pre-FRT 3D-T1w images using the standard Elastix registration toolbox.<sup>36</sup>

Grey matter (GM) and WM probability maps were segmented from the 3D-T1w images, for each examination, using the segmentation tool in the SPM12 toolbox. WM and GM maps were defined as partial volume fraction above 70%. Contrastenhancing tissue, oedema, resection cavity, tumour progression and recurrence, if present, were excluded, reviewed by an experienced neuroradiologist. Registered radiation dose plans were divided as follows: 0–5 Gy, 5–10 Gy, 10–20 Gy, 20–30 Gy, 30–40 Gy, 40–50 Gy and 50–60 Gy, creating a total of seven binary dose regions for each tissue type, (mean volume and standard deviation for each dose region is presented in S1 Table).

#### Statistical analysis

Mean CBV and CBF were calculated in each dose region and normalised (nCBV and nCBF) to the mean CBV and CBF in 0-5 Gy WM and GM regions, respectively. Super- and subscripts are used to distinguish between tissue type from which measurements were derived (superscript) and reference tissue type used for normalisation (subscript), *i.e.* nCBV<sup>GM</sup><sub>WM</sub>, nCBV<sup>WM</sup><sub>WM</sub> and nCBV<sup>GM</sup><sub>GM</sub> (same for nCBF). For descriptive analysis, average mean, 95% confidence interval (CI) and standard error of mean (SEM) were calculated region-wise, outlined by radiation dose regions (regional nCBV and nCBF), and globally, incorporating all regional values irrespective of received radiation dose (global nCBV and nCBF). A Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed ranks test was used to compare post-FRT data with pre-FRT data under the null hypothesis: there is no change in nCBV and nCBF after FRT. This was performed for regional values and global values and for GM and WM, respectively. Derived P-values are two-sided and presented as exact values, unless lower than 0.001, in this case they are presented as <0.001. P-values lower than 0.05 were considered significant. Relative change was further defined as:

$$200 \times \frac{(nCBV_{post} - nCBV_{pre})}{(nCBV_{post} + nCBV_{pre})} \text{ same for } nCBF$$

Mean relative change and standard deviation (SD) were calculated and presented as a part of the descriptive analysis. A linear regression model was applied to assess a possible dose-response relationship between relative change and received radiation dose. Graphpad Prism 7 for Mac (Graphpad Software, La Jolla California USA) was used for statistical analysis and graph design.

## Results

#### **Patients**

Seven patients were excluded due to inconsistent or missing pre-FRT examinations (n = 5) or deviation from the prescribed total dose of 60 Gy (n =2). Data from 10 patients were analysed (mean age 55.8 years, SD 8.0 years). All had a histopathological diagnosis of glioblastoma (WHO grade IV). All patients analysed were prescribed a total dose of 60 Gy, however, nine patients had received a fraction **TABLE 1.** Mean, standard error of mean (SEM) and change relative pre-fractionated radiotherapy (pre-FRT) for global nCBV and nCBF. Global normalised cerebral blood volume (nCBV) and normalised cerebral blood flow (nCBF) (mean and SEM), change in percentage relative pre-FRT (mean and SD) and derived *P*-values from a Wilcoxson matched-pair signed ranks test comparing post-FRT data with pre-FRT data. Corresponding table for regional nCBV and nCBF can be found in S2 Table

|           |           | nCBV <sup>GM</sup>               |                       |                       |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|           | Pre-FRT   | FRT <sub>Post-1</sub>            | FRT <sub>Post-2</sub> | FRT <sub>Post-3</sub> |
| nCBV      | 1.63±0.03 | 1.53±0.04                        | 1.49±0.05             | 1.53±0.03             |
| ΔnCBV (%) |           | -6.7±7.7                         | -4.6±11.7             | -6.0±9.3              |
| p-value   |           | <0,0001                          | 0,0535                | <0,0001               |
|           |           | nCBF <sup>GM</sup>               |                       |                       |
|           | Pre-FRT   | FRT <sub>Post-1</sub>            | FRT <sub>Post-2</sub> | FRT <sub>Post-3</sub> |
| nCBF      | 1.60±0.03 | 1.53±0.03                        | 1.37±0.04             | 1.46±0.03             |
| ΔnCBF (%) |           | -5.1±11.7                        | -12.5±11.4            | -7.5±8.2              |
| p-value   |           | 0,0057                           | <0,0001               | <0,0001               |
|           |           | nCBV <sup>WM</sup>               |                       |                       |
|           | Pre-FRT   | FRT <sub>Post-1</sub>            | FRT <sub>Post-2</sub> | FRT <sub>Post-3</sub> |
| nCBV      | 1.10±0.03 | 1.06±0.03                        | 1.03±0.03             | 1.05±0.03             |
| ΔnCBV (%) |           | -4.3±7.6                         | 0.7±11.7              | -3.6±11.9             |
| p-value   |           | 0,0003                           | 0,3818                | 0,1197                |
|           |           | nCBF <sup>WM</sup> <sub>WM</sub> |                       |                       |
|           | Pre-FRT   | FRT <sub>Post-1</sub>            | FRT <sub>Post-2</sub> | FRT <sub>Post-3</sub> |
| nCBF      | 1.09±0.02 | 1.08±0.03                        | 0.96±0.03             | 1.05±0.03             |
| ΔnCBF (%) |           | -3.1±7.7                         | -7.4±10.5             | -3.4±10.3             |
| p-value   |           | 0,0166                           | 0,0002                | 0,2267                |
|           |           | nCBV <sup>GM</sup>               |                       |                       |
|           | Pre-FRT   | FRT <sub>Post-1</sub>            | FRT <sub>Post-2</sub> | FRT <sub>Post-3</sub> |
| nCBV      | 1.00±0.01 | 0.99±0.01                        | 1.02±0.02             | 1.0±0.02              |
| ΔnCBV (%) |           | -0.9±4.0                         | 1.7±5.7               | -0.4±6.8              |
| p-value   |           | 0,1629                           | 0,184                 | 0,6445                |
|           |           | nCBF <sup>GM</sup>               |                       |                       |
|           | Pre-FRT   | FRT <sub>Post-1</sub>            | FRT <sub>Post-2</sub> | FRT <sub>Post-3</sub> |
| nCBF      | 1.02±0.01 | 1.01±0.01                        | 1.00±0.01             | 1.02±0.01             |
| ΔnCBF (%) |           | -0.1±5.1                         | -2.8±4.7              | 0.0±4.5               |
| p-value   |           | 0,4922                           | 0,0073                | 0,9252                |

dose of 2.0 Gy/fraction and one patient 2.2 Gy/fraction. Seven post-FRT examinations were missing, yielding a total of 33 MR-examinations. Pre-FRT examination was performed in average (SD, number of patients) 9.0 (7.4, 10 patients) days prior to start of FRT and three post-FRT examinations were

Radiol Oncol 2018; 52(2): 143-151.

performed 3.1 (3.3, 9 patients), 34.4 (9.5, 5 patients) and 103.3 (12.9, 9 patients) days after end of FRT. Five patients were administrated temozolamide after  $FRT_{Post-1}$  and three patients after  $FRT_{Post-2}$ . PCV and bevacizumab/lomustine were administrated after  $FRT_{Post-1}$  in two patients respectively.

# Changes in nCBV and nCBF after radiation treatment

A representative dose region distribution map with corresponding pre-FRT 3D-T1w image are displayed in Figure 1. Global nCBV and nCBF with 95% CI and derived P-values are graphically described in Figure 2. Mean and SEM of global nCBV and nCBF together with mean relative change and SD and derived *P*-values are presented in Table 1. Both  $nCBV_{WM}^{GM}$  and  $nCBF_{WM}^{GM}$  decreased at  $FRT_{Post-1'}$ decreased further at FRT<sub>Post-2'</sub> and recovered at FRT<sub>Post-3'</sub> however, still below corresponding values at pre-FRT. Significant differences were found for all values except nCBV<sup>GM</sup><sub>WM</sub> at FRT<sub>Post-2</sub>; nCBV<sup>WM</sup><sub>WM</sub> and  ${\rm nCBF}_{\rm WM}^{\rm WM}$  showed the same tendency. Only small variations between pre-FRT and post-FRT examinations were present in nCBV<sub>GM</sub><sup>GM</sup> and nCBF<sub>GM</sub><sup>GM</sup> implying no change after FRT. Comprehensive figures over regional nCBV and nCBF and derived P-values are provided in S1 and S2 Figures and S2 Table. In summary, similar responses were seen in regional nCBV and nCBF after FRT as in global nCBV and nCBF, but they were less pronounced and mostly non-significant.

#### Dose-response relationship

Using a linear regression model, both nCBV<sup>WM</sup><sub>WM</sub> and nCBF<sup>WM</sup><sub>WM</sub> demonstrated an inverse response to radiation dose, *i.e.* larger reductions in nCBV with increasing radiation dose; nCBV<sup>GM</sup><sub>WM</sub> and nCBF<sup>GM</sup><sub>WM</sub> demonstrated a varied response to radiation dose. Furthermore, the same tendency could be seen for nCBV<sup>GM</sup><sub>GM</sub> and nCBF<sup>GM</sup><sub>GM</sub>. In Figure 3, relative change and derived linear regression curve and equation for regional nCBV is illustrated (corresponding for nCBF can be found in S3 Figure).

### Discussion

In this study, we found decreasing perfusion values indicating acute and early delayed effects in normal appearing brain tissue after FRT. We also observed a dose-response relationship in WM but not in GM.

Petr et al. reported a 9.8% decrease in contralateral normal appearing GM CBF measured with arterial spin labelling -MRI 4.8 months after FRT. An assessment of how the radiation dose given affects the decrease in CBF indicated that the decrease is larger with higher radiation dose *i.e.* an inverse dose-response relationship.10 A good correlation has previously been reported between arterial spin labelling -MRI and DSC-MRI with regard to measuring regional CBF<sup>13,37</sup>, however, an analysis of white matter was not included. Price et al. found a dose-related decrease in relative CBV and relative CBF in normal appearing WM after FRT.<sup>11</sup> However, only four patients were studied, and normalisation was performed to periventricular normal-appearing WM measured before FRT. Webber et al. reported no radiation-induced change in CBF, measured with DSC and arterial spin labelling MRI, after stereotactic radiosurgery in regions restricted to <0.5 Gy up to five times after FRT.13 Lee et al. studied CBV measured with DSC in WM and found a response that was similar to our results. The dose regions were larger, up to 60 Gy, in steps of 15 Gy. CBV was normalised to voxels receiving 0-15 Gy. A tendency towards an inverse dose-response relationship was reported.9 In summary, these results were in fair agreement with ours.

A number of contradictory findings have been reported in the literature. Jakubovic *et al.* evaluated relative CBV and relative CBF measured with DSC



**FIGURE 1.** Dose region distribution with corresponding pre-fractionated radiotherapy (pre-FRT) Gd-T1w image. Representative dose region distribution with corresponding pre-FRT GD-T1w image for one patient. Dose distribution was divided into seven binary regions (0–5 Gy, 5–10 Gy, 10–20 Gy, 20–30 Gy, 30–40 Gy, 40–50 Gy and 50–60 Gy). Resection cavity, post-FRT effects and tumour tissue were excluded.

in patients undergoing single fraction stereotactic radiosurgery. They reported increasing rCBV and rCBF in both GM and WM.<sup>8</sup> This discrepancy compared to our results can be explained by use of different radiation treatment methods and that normalisation was done only to pre-stereotactic radiosurgery CBV and CBF values. Fuss *et al.* evaluated CBV in GM and WM after FRT in patients



**FIGURE 2.** Longitudinal change in global normalised cerebral blood volume (nCBV) and normalised cerebral blood flow (nCBF). Global nCBV and nCBF (mean and 95% CI) graphically displayed for the different examinations in consecutive order. Derived *P*-values are included, and emphasised in bold and italics if less than 0.05. Corresponding table for regional nCBV and nCBF can be found in S1 and S2 Figures.



FIGURE 3. Dose-dependent relative change and linear regression model for normalised cerebral blood volume (nCBV). Shows regional relative change for nCBV and derived line regression (line and equation).

Corresponding figure for normalised cerebral blood flow (nCBF) can be found in S3 Figure.

with low-grade astrocytomas. Decrease of CBV up to 30% was seen 24 months after FRT in both GM and WM. Smaller decrease was seen 6 months after FRT.7 In another study, CBV change was evaluated 15 months after FRT in GM and WM. CBV after FRT was found to be significantly lower than before FRT.14 However, in both these studies, the DSC analysis was not described in detail, and the time period used in these studies did not coincide with ours. As absolute values were reported, the results presented in these studies could be affected largely by the limitations in absolute quantification of DSC-MRI-derived perfusion measures. Taki et al. reported a 7% decrease in global CBF in GM 2 weeks and 3 months after stereotactic radiosurgery. This is in agreement with our results. However, large decreases were detected in GM receiving < 5 Gy (up to 22%).12 This contradicting result could be explained by reproducibility errors between examinations. Non-normalised blood flow measured with 99mTc-HMPAO SPECT is affected by large variations between examinations compared to normalised blood flow.<sup>38</sup> In this study, the authors do not describe if normalisation is used or not. Different radiation treatment methods and dose must also be considered as confounding factors when our results are compared with their findings.

Radiation-induced vascular structural changes, such as dilation and thickening of vessels, decreased vessel density, blood-brain-barrier disruption, endothelial cell damages may introduce thrombosis, tortuosity and occlusion. This could affect the perfusion and together with decreased vessel density and may partly explain our results.3,4,9 Furthermore, the recovery in nCBV and nCBF seen in our results agrees with the theory of acute and early delayed effects being reversible.<sup>1,3,4</sup> However, radiation-induced changes in brain tissue is a complex process involving several tissue elements. Moreover, histopathology has mainly been studied in rodent models or single dose experiments.3,5,45 Interpreting findings from animal models and applying them to humans should be done with caution.

Our findings suggest that the GM response to the administrated treatment is independent of the radiation dose received; however, there is still an apparent reaction to radiation. This suggestion is based on two preliminary findings; first, a linear regression of relative difference in regional  $nCBV_{WM}^{GM}$  and  $nCBF_{WM}^{GM}$  demonstrated both positive and negative slopes, with small  $\beta$  values compared to WM tissue. Second, the resulting linear regression for  $nCBV_{GM}^{GM}$  and  $nCBF_{GM}^{GM}$  is also small and close to zero. This is to be expected if no dose-response relationship exists in GM, and furthermore, the use of radiation-induced changes in low-dose WM as reference tissue can be rejected as a confounding factor in this specific case because GM was used as the reference tissue. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to report a perfusion decrease independent of radiation dose in GM using DSC. Several publications have shown that grey matter volume decreases after fractionated radiotherapy increasing with radiation dose46-49, since both CBV and CBF are tissue volume dependent parameters, we believe that the dose-independency found in grey matter is a result of decreased grey matter volume instead of an actual response in CBV and CBF independent on radiation dose given.

Despite encouraging results, some potential limitations need to be addressed. First, the patient number is small and for the evaluation of perfusion on examination FRT<sub>Post-2</sub> only five data sets were analysed. The severity of the disease significantly contributed to the high number of excluded patients through drop-outs and terminating examinations, which was beyond our control. Our efforts to keep a consistent FRT protocol and imaging time frame also contributed to exclusions. However, since we investigated response to radiation dose over time, it was necessary to keep both radiation dose and imaging time point consistent in the patient material. Secondly, concomitant and adjuvant chemotherapy was given to all patients. While there are no reports of temozolomide or PCV affecting brain perfusion, a recent publication reported that bevacizumab may decrease CBF in contralateral normal appearing GM.<sup>50</sup> However, only one patient was given bevacizumab during the examinations analysed, it is therefore unlikely that our data are affected by the adjuvant chemotherapy given.

The limitations of DSC-MRI are, in the present study, considered by several post-processing selections. The use of patient-specific AIF in DSC measurements has been shown to increase the reproducibility between examinations, minimising the effects on reproducibility inherent in partial volume effects and noise.<sup>51</sup> This approach also confronts the concern regarding misleading results due to radiation-induced changes in pixels defined as the AIF.<sup>9</sup> Vessel segmentation was performed to eliminate macro vessel signal contributions causing overestimation of CBV and CBF. We used prebolus administration and contrast agent leakage correction as suggested.<sup>15,18</sup> Furthermore, post-FRT effects such as oedema were excluded from the dose regions during segmentation, and can thereby not influence our results.

In summary, significant decrease of global nCBV and nCBF between pre-FRT and post-FRT examinations was found in our study. As proposed by Petr *et al.*, perfusion variations in healthy tissue can represent a possible bias with regard to reference region selection.<sup>10</sup> Acute and early delayed effects from FRT would, based on our results and other publications, introduce an overestimation of tumour CBV and CBF since the reference normal appearing brain tissue CBV and CBF are the denominator in the ratio. Thus, information from radiation dose plans may assist the selection of a reference WM region, avoiding GM to, if possible, define a region that received as low dose as possible.

## Conclusions

Our findings suggest that radiation-induced perfusion changes occur in normal-appearing brain tissue after FRT. This can cause an overestimation of relative tumour perfusion using DSC-MRI, and thus, can confound tumour treatment evaluation.

## Acknowledgement

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily express an official position of the institution or funder. This work was funded by Bayer, AG, Berlin, Germany and the Swedish Cancer Society.

## References

- Kim JH, Jenrow KA, Brown SL. Mechanisms of radiation-induced normal tissue toxicity and implications for future clinical trials. *Radiat Oncol J* 2014; 32: 103-15. doi: 10.3857/roj.2014.32.3.103
- Price RE, Langford LA, Jackson EF, Stephens LC, Tinkey PT, Ang KK. Radiationinduced morphologic changes in the rhesus monkey (Macaca mulatta) brain. J Med Primatol 2001; 30: 81-7. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0684.2001.300202.x

- Sundgren PC, Cao Y. Brain irradiation: effects on normal brain parenchyma and radiation injury. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2009; 19: 657-68. doi: 10.1016/j.nic.2009.08.014
- Greene-Schloesser D, Robbins ME, Peiffer AM, Shaw EG, Wheeler KT, Chan MD. Radiation-induced brain injury: a review. *Front Oncol* 2012; 2: 73. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2012.00073
- Cao Y, Tsien CI, Sundgren PC, Nagesh V, Normolle D, Buchtel H, et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging as a biomarker for prediction of radiation-induced neurocognitive dysfunction. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 1747-54. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-1420
- Adair JC, Baldwin N, Kornfeld M, Rosenberg GA. Radiation-induced bloodbrain barrier damage in astrocytoma: relation to elevated gelatinase B and urokinase. J Neurooncol 1999; 44: 283-9.
- Fuss M, Wenz F, Scholdei R, Essig M, Debus J, Knopp MV, et al. Radiationinduced regional cerebral blood volume (rCBV) changes in normal brain and low-grade astrocytomas: quantification and time and dose-dependent occurrence. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000; 48: 53-8. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(00)00590-3
- Jakubovic R, Sahgal A, Ruschin M, Pejovic-Milic A, Milwid R, Aviv RI. Non tumor perfusion changes following stereotactic Radiosurgery to brain metastases. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2014. doi: 10.7785/tcrtexpress.2013.600279
- Lee MC, Cha S, Chang SM, Nelson SJ. Dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion imaging of radiation effects in normal-appearing brain tissue: changes in the first-pass and recirculation phases. J Magn Reson Imaging 2005; 21: 683-93. doi: 10.1002/jmri.20298
- Petr J, Platzek I, Seidlitz A, Mutsaerts HJ, Hofheinz F, Schramm G, et al. Early and late effects of radiochemotherapy on cerebral blood flow in glioblastoma patients measured with non-invasive perfusion MRI. *Radiother Oncol* 2016; **118**: 24-8. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.12.017
- Price SJ, Jena R, Green HA, Kirkby NF, Lynch AG, Coles CE, et al. Early radiotherapy dose response and lack of hypersensitivity effect in normal brain tissue: a sequential dynamic susceptibility imaging study of cerebral perfusion. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2007; **19**: 577-87. doi: 10.1016/j.clon.2007.04.010
- Taki S, Higashi K, Oguchi M, Tamamura H, Tsuji S, Ohta K, et al. Changes in regional cerebral blood flow in irradiated regions and normal brain after stereotactic radiosurgery. *Ann Nucl Med* 2002; 16: 273-7.
- Weber MA, Gunther M, Lichy MP, Delorme S, Bongers A, Thilmann C, et al. Comparison of arterial spin-labeling techniques and dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced MRI in perfusion imaging of normal brain tissue. *Invest Radiol* 2003; **38**: 712-8. doi: 10.1097/01. rli.0000084890.57197.54
- Wenz F, Rempp K, Hess T, Debus J, Brix G, Engenhart R, et al. Effect of radiation on blood volume in low-grade astrocytomas and normal brain tissue: quantification with dynamic susceptibility contrast MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1996; **166**: 187-93. doi: 10.2214/ajr.166.1.8571873
- Paulson ES, Schmainda KM. Comparison of dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced MR methods: recommendations for measuring relative cerebral blood volume in brain tumors. *Radiology* 2008; 249: 601-13. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2492071659
- Jafari-Khouzani K, Emblem KE, Kalpathy-Cramer J, Bjornerud A, Vangel MG, Gerstner ER, et al.Repeatability of cerebral perfusion using dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI in glioblastoma patients. *Transl Oncol* 2015; 8: 137-46. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2015.03.002
- Law M, Young RJ, Babb JS, Peccerelli N, Chheang S, Gruber ML, et al. Gliomas: predicting time to progression or survival with cerebral blood volume measurements at dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging. *Radiology* 2008; 247: 490-8. doi: 10.1148/ radiol.2472070898
- Lacerda S, Law M. Magnetic resonance perfusion and permeability imaging in brain tumors. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2009; 19: 527-57. doi: 10.1016/j. nic.2009.08.007
- Jarnum H, Steffensen EG, Knutsson L, Frund ET, Simonsen CW, Lundbye-Christensen S, et al. Perfusion MRI of brain tumours: a comparative study of pseudo-continuous arterial spin labelling and dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging. *Neuroradiology* 2010; **52**: 307-17. doi: 10.1007/s00234-009-0616-6

- Choi SH, Jung SC, Kim KW, Lee JY, Choi Y, Park SH, et al. Perfusion MRI as the predictive/prognostic and pharmacodynamic biomarkers in recurrent malignant glioma treated with bevacizumab: a systematic review and a time-to-event meta-analysis. *J Neurooncol* 2016; **128**: 185-94. doi: 10.1007/ s11060-016-2102-4
- Vogelbaum MA, Jost S, Aghi MK, Heimberger AB, Sampson JH, Wen PY, et al. Application of novel response/progression measures for surgically delivered therapies for gliomas: Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) Working Group. *Neurosurgery* 2012; **70**: 234-43; discussion 43-4. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e318223f5a7
- Tensaouti F, Khalifa J, Lusque A, Plas B, Lotterie JA, Berry I, et al. Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology criteria, contrast enhancement and perfusion MRI for assessing progression in glioblastoma. *Neuroradiology* 2017; 59: 1013-20. doi: 10.1007/s00234-017-1899-7
- Bjornerud A, Emblem KE. A fully automated method for quantitative cerebral hemodynamic analysis using DSC-MRI. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2010; 30: 1066-78. Epub 2010/01/21. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2010.4
- Knutsson L, Stahlberg F, Wirestam R. Absolute quantification of perfusion using dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI: pitfalls and possibilities. *MAGMA* 2010; 23: 1-21. doi: 10.1007/s10334-009-0190-2
- Mouridsen K, Christensen S, Gyldensted L, Ostergaard L. Automatic selection of arterial input function using cluster analysis. *Magn Reson Med* 2006; 55: 524-31. doi: 10.1002/mrm.20759
- Petersen ET, Zimine I, Ho YC, Golay X. Non-invasive measurement of perfusion: a critical review of arterial spin labelling techniques. *Br J Radiol* 2006; 79: 688-701. doi: 10.1259/bjr/67705974
- Emblem KE, Bjornerud A. An automatic procedure for normalization of cerebral blood volume maps in dynamic susceptibility contrast-based glioma imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2009; 30: 1929-32. doi: 10.3174/ajnr. A1680
- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 987-96. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa043330
- Ostergaard L. Principles of cerebral perfusion imaging by bolus tracking. J Magn Reson Imaging 2005; 22: 710-7. doi: 10.1002/imri.20460
- Simonsen CZ, Ostergaard L, Vestergaard-Poulsen P, Rohl L, Bjornerud A, Gyldensted C. CBF and CBV measurements by USPIO bolus tracking: reproducibility and comparison with Gd-based values. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1999; **9**: 342-7. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1522-2586(199902)9:2<342::AID-JMRI29>3.0.CO;2-B
- Emblem KE, Bjornerud A, Mouridsen K, Borra RJ, Batchelor TT, Jain RK, et al. T(1)- and T(2)(\*)-dominant extravasation correction in DSC-MRI: part II-predicting patient outcome after a single dose of cediranib in recurrent glioblastoma patients. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2011; 31: 2054-64. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2011.39
- Ostergaard L, Sorensen AG, Kwong KK, Weisskoff RM, Gyldensted C, Rosen BR. High resolution measurement of cerebral blood flow using intravascular tracer bolus passages. Part II: Experimental comparison and preliminary results. *Magn Reson Med* 1996; **36**: 726-36. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910360511
- Calamante F, Gadian DG, Connelly A. Quantification of bolus-tracking MRI: improved characterization of the tissue residue function using Tikhonov regularization. *Magn Reson Med* 2003; 50: 1237-47. doi: 10.1002/mrm.10643
- Boxerman JL, Schmainda KM, Weisskoff RM. Relative cerebral blood volume maps corrected for contrast agent extravasation significantly correlate with glioma tumor grade, whereas uncorrected maps do not. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2006; 27: 859-67.
- Emblem KE, Due-Tonnessen P, Hald JK, Bjornerud A. Automatic vessel removal in gliomas from dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2009; 61: 1210-7. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21944
- Klein S, Staring M, Murphy K, Viergever MA, Pluim JP. Elastix: a toolbox for intensity-based medical image registration. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2010; 29: 196-205. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2009.2035616
- 37. White CM, Pope WB, Zaw T, Qiao J, Naeini KM, Lai A, et al. Regional and voxel-wise comparisons of blood flow measurements between dynamic susceptibility contrast magnetic resonance imaging (DSC-MRI) and arterial spin labeling (ASL) in brain tumors. J Neuroimaging 2014; 24: 23-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6569.2012.00703.x

- Jonsson C, Pagani M, Johansson L, Thurfjell L, Jacobsson H, Larsson SA. Reproducibility and repeatability of 99Tcm-HMPAO rCBF SPET in normal subjects at rest using brain atlas matching. *Nucl Med Commun* 2000; 21: 9-18.
- Li YQ, Chen P, Haimovitz-Friedman A, Reilly RM, Wong CS. Endothelial apoptosis initiates acute blood-brain barrier disruption after ionizing radiation. *Cancer Res* 2003; 63: 5950-6.
- Lyubimova N, Hopewell JW. Experimental evidence to support the hypothesis that damage to vascular endothelium plays the primary role in the development of late radiation-induced CNS injury. *Br J Radiol* 2004; 77: 488-92. doi: 10.1259/bjr/15169876
- Cao Y, Tsien CI, Shen Z, Tatro DS, Ten Haken R, Kessler ML, et al. Use of magnetic resonance imaging to assess blood-brain/blood-glioma barrier opening during conformal radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 4127-36. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.07.144
- Brown WR, Thore CR, Moody DM, Robbins ME, Wheeler KT. Vascular damage after fractionated whole-brain irradiation in rats. *Radiat Res* 2005; 164: 662-8.
- Coderre JA, Morris GM, Micca PL, Hopewell JW, Verhagen I, Kleiboer BJ, et al. Late effects of radiation on the central nervous system: role of vascular endothelial damage and glial stem cell survival. *Radiat Res* 2006; 166: 495-503. doi: 10.1667/RR3597.1
- Wong CS, Van der Kogel AJ. Mechanisms of radiation injury to the central nervous system: implications for neuroprotection. *Mol Interv* 2004; 4: 273-84. doi: 10.1124/mi.4.5.7
- 45. Yuan H, Gaber MW, Boyd K, Wilson CM, Kiani MF, Merchant TE. Effects of fractionated radiation on the brain vasculature in a murine model: blood-brain barrier permeability, astrocyte proliferation, and ultrastructural changes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006; 66: 860-6. doi: 10.1016/j. ijrobp.2006.06.043
- Prust MJ, Jafari-Khouzani K, Kalpathy-Cramer J, Polaskova P, Batchelor TT, Gerstner ER, et al. Standard chemoradiation for glioblastoma results in progressive brain volume loss. *Neurology* 2015; 85: 683-91. doi: 10.1212/ WNL.00000000001861
- Karunamuni RA, Moore KL, Seibert TM, Li N, White NS, Bartsch H, et al. Radiation sparing of cerebral cortex in brain tumor patients using quantitative neuroimaging. *Radiother Oncol* 2016; **118**: 29-34. doi: 10.1016/j. radonc.2016.01.003
- Petr J, Platzek I, Hofheinz F, Mutsaerts H, Asllani I, van Osch MJP, et al. Photon vs. proton radiochemotherapy: effects on brain tissue volume and perfusion. *Radiother Oncol* 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2017.11.033
- Karunamuni R, Bartsch H, White NS, Moiseenko V, Carmona R, Marshall DC, et al. Dose-dependent cortical thinning after partial brain irradiation in highgrade glioma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2016; 94: 297-304. doi: 10.1016/j. ijrobp.2015.10.026
- Andre JB, Nagpal S, Hippe DS, Ravanpay AC, Schmiedeskamp H, Bammer R, et al. Cerebral blood flow changes in glioblastoma patients undergoing bevacizumab treatment are seen in both tumor and normal brain. [Abstract] *Neuroradiol J* 2015; 28: 112-9. doi: 10.1177/1971400915576641
- Mouridsen K, Emblem K, Bjørnerud A, Jennings D, Sorensen AG. Subjectspecific AIF optimizes reproducibility of perfusion parameters in longitudinal DSC-MRI. Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med 2011; 19: 376.