State of the art in magnetic resonance imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma
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Background. Liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and the second leading cause of cancer mortality. Chronic liver disease caused by viral infection, alcohol abuse, or other factors can lead to cirrhosis. Cirrhosis is the most important clinical risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) whereby the normal hepatic architecture is replaced by fibrous septa and a spectrum of nodules ranging from benign regenerative nodules to HCC, each one of them with different imaging features.

Multiple studies have demonstrated that magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has excellent sensitivity and specificity for the detection and characterization of HCC in comparison with computed tomography (CT) and ultrasound. Beyond the standard protocol, the use of hepatobiliary contrast agents and the acquisition of additional sequences such as diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) with apparent diffusion coefficient mapping, subtraction imaging, multiplanar acquisition, and hepatobiliary phase, have been proposed to improve the detection of HCC, especially in the case of small, well-differentiated, and post-treatment HCC.

Conclusions. Furthermore, advanced techniques including the quantification of hepatic and intralesional fat and iron, magnetic resonance elastography, radiomics, radiogenomics, and positron emission tomography (PET)-MRI are highly promising for the extraction of new imaging biomarkers that reflect the tumor microenvironment and, in the future, may add decision-making value in the management of patients with HCC.
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Introduction

Liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and the second leading cause of cancer mortality.¹² In the United States, approximately 42220 new cases of liver cancer will be diagnosed and 30200 deaths will occur in 2018.³ The incidence in men is three times higher than in women. Over a third of liver cancer consists of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In recent years, five-year survival rates of HCC have considerably improved due to earlier detection and curative therapies.¹ However, the incidence is still rising in women while it has reached a plateau in men since 2010.³

The two most common risk factors of HCC are chronic infection from hepatitis B and/or hepatitis C virus and alcohol abuse.³ Other important risk factors include consumption of aflatoxin (toxin
produced by a fungus that can infect grains, soybeans and peanuts) which occurs mainly in less developed countries and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease which occurs mainly in Western countries. Figure 1 demonstrates the geographical distribution of the main risk factors for HCC worldwide.

Chronic liver disease caused by viral infection, alcohol abuse, or other factors can lead to cirrhosis. Cirrhosis is the most important clinical risk factor for HCC whereby the normal hepatic architecture is replaced by fibrous septa and a spectrum of nodules ranging from benign regenerative nodules to HCC. The cirrhotic liver gives way to HCC via hepatocarcinogenesis, an anaplastic complex process characterized by stepwise accumulation of epigenetic and genetic alterations at the molecular and cellular level and changes in the hepatic architecture seen at the histologic level. While initial-

Magnetic resonance imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has rapidly evolved as a superior imaging technique in the oncologic field in the past few decades, having undergone improvements in its acquisition time and imaging quality. Multiple studies have demonstrated that MRI has excellent sensitivity and specificity for the detection and characterization of HCC compared with computed tomography (CT) and ultrasound. However, mainly because of its high cost and limited availability especially in underdeveloped countries which bear a disproportionately high risk of HCC, its large-scale use for HCC screening is still restricted. Table 1 summarizes the main indications of MRI for HCC as recommended by the European Association (EASL) and American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines.

MRI protocol

Standardized MRI protocols for HCC surveillance must be developed to allow clinicians and technologists to perform repeatable and reproducible high-quality examinations. The minimum magnetic field strength of 1.5 Tesla (T) provides acceptable temporal, spatial, and contrast resolution that enable adequate assessment of hepatic lesions.
The following sequences are essential for the diagnosis of HCC: T2-weighted imaging (WI); unenhanced T1WI opposed and in-phase; and multiphase T1WI (pre-contrast, late arterial, portal venous, and delayed or transitional phases). Slice thickness should be 5 mm or less for dynamic series and 8 mm or less for other imaging.13,14

Other sequences have been proposed to improve the detection of HCC, especially in cases of well-differentiated HCC or HCC post-treatment. These include diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) with apparent diffusion coefficient mapping, subtraction imaging, multi-planar acquisition, and hepatobiliary phase.14,15 Table 2 summarizes these MRI sequences.

DWI improves the characterization of liver nodules without requiring contrast media injection.15,17 Currently, DWI is used to increase the sensitivity of other sequences for the detection and characterization of HCC. Studies investigating the utility of DWI have demonstrated promising results for assessing prognosis, predicting response, distinguishing tumor from treatment effect, and monitoring response to therapy in patients with HCC.18-22

**Contrast media agents**

Dynamic contrast-enhanced sequences are routinely performed with gadolinium-based extracellular contrast agents (ECA) or hepatobiliary contrast agents (HBA). They allow the diagnosis of HCC by exploiting the physiologic changes in blood flow that accompany hepatocarcinogenesis. Following administration of the contrast agent, the dual vascular supply of the liver is opacified in the following sequential order: the hepatic arteries, the portal veins, and finally the hepatic veins.7,14

Typically, contrast agents are administered at rates of 2 mL/sec followed by saline infusion. The dose is usually based on body weight (ranging from 0.025 to 0.1 mmol gadolinium per kg) as well as on the agent and other factors.7

ECAs include gadoterate meglumine (Gd-DOTA) and gadopentate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA) which are excreted primarily through glomerular filtration. The pattern of contrast enhancement can be studied in dynamic T1WI in the following phases: late arterial, portal venous, and delayed phases. HBAs include gadoxetate disodium (Gd-EOB-DTPA) and gadobenate dimeglumine (Gd-BOPTA) which are excreted through glomerular filtration but are also taken up by hepatocytes and excreted into the biliary system. As such, HBAs provide additional information regarding the presence of hepatocytes with OATP, which decrease in hepatocarcinogenesis.23 With HBA administration,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI sequences</th>
<th>HCC imaging features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2WI</strong></td>
<td>Usually hyperintense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T1WI opposed and in-phase</strong></td>
<td>Intralesional microscopic fat (lower signal on opposed-phase) or iron (lower signal on in-phase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T1WI with fat saturation pre-contrast</strong></td>
<td>Demonstrates the presence of macroscopic fat and blood products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic late arterial phase</strong></td>
<td>Hyperenhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic portal venous phase</strong></td>
<td>Washout and capsule appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic delayed phase</strong></td>
<td>Washout and capsule appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diffusion weighted imaging</strong></td>
<td>Restricted diffusion (helps to identify small lesions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtraction imaging</strong></td>
<td>Characterizes contrast enhancement in spontaneously hyperintense nodules on T1WI pre-contrast (especially important for lesions with blood products and after locoregional treatment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi-planar acquisition</strong></td>
<td>Helps to differentiate HCC from mass-like lesions or extra-hepatic lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatobiliary phase</strong></td>
<td>Generally hypointense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1WI = T1 weighted image; T2WI = T2 weighted image
the pattern of contrast enhancement can be studied in the late arterial, portal venous, transitional, and hepatobiliary phases.

Recently, in Europe, the use of intravenous linear agents such as gadodiamide and intravenous gadopentate dimeglumine have been suspended or restricted in response to the retention of gadolinium in the brain and in other tissues as reported in a scientific review. However, there is still no evidence that this deposition causes any harm to patients. Hepatobiliary linear contrast agents continue to be available as their properties allow the recognition of poorly vascularized hepatic lesions which cannot be studied with other agents. The macrocyclic agents (gadobutrol, gadoteric acid, and gadoteridol) have a lower propensity to release gadolinium than linear agents and can continue to be used in their current indications.

**Advanced techniques**

**Quantification of fat and iron**

Liver biopsy is the gold standard for quantifying iron and fat in the liver. However, this method is invasive and susceptible to sampling variability. MRI is a non-invasive, alternative method to quantify iron and fat within the liver. There are several sequences that can be used to quantify iron and fat within the liver. Regarding iron, the following techniques can be employed: signal intensity ratio techniques based on T2WI or T2*WI, quantitative relaxometry (based mainly on T2WI but also on T1WI), and MR susceptibility. In regards to fat, post-processing of T1WI in- and opposed-phase provides quantification from a scale of 0–50% while the proton density fat fraction allows quantification of a full fat fraction from 0–100%. Iron and fat content may contribute to differential diagnosis and may be a potential prognostic biomarker of HCC. However, the evidence is still limited and this method is not used in the daily practice.

**Magnetic resonance elastography**

Magnetic Resonance Elastography (MRE) is an imaging modality used to stage liver fibrosis. Recent studies have demonstrated the use of MRE for the evaluation of HCC with promising results for the prediction of tumor grade and assessment of treatment response. Well/moderately differentiated tumors demonstrated increased stiffness compared to poorly differentiated ones and there was a correlation between the percentage of tumor necrosis and tumor stiffness, particularly in HCCs treated with radioembolization. However, the evidence is still limited and MRE is not yet routinely implemented.

**Imaging features on MRI**

**Regenerative nodules**

Regenerative nodules correspond to an area of parenchyma surrounded by fibrosis. These nodules are usually similar to background liver parenchyma but some may exhibit a fine area of peripheral late-phase enhancement corresponding to fibrosis. Regenerative nodules may present accumulation of iron which results in low signal intensity on T1- and T2-weighted imaging.

**Dysplastic nodules**

Dysplastic nodules contain atypical cells but without malignancy on histological analysis. The radiological pattern of dysplastic nodules is variable and can be similar to regenerative nodules (in those with low-grade dysplasia) or well-differentiated HCC (in those with high-grade dysplasia). These lesions often present as iso- or hypointense on T2-weighted imaging and are frequently hypovascular. Occasionally, a mildly elevated signal intensity may occur within a low signal-intensity nodule on T2-weighted imaging. This represents foci of HCC (the foci of high signal intensity) within a dysplastic nodule (the area with low signal intensity). The foci of HCC may also enhance in the arterial phase.

**HCC**

HCC has a wide spectrum of radiological characteristics depending on its size and degree of histological differentiation. HCC can be classified as early or progressed HCC.

**Early HCC** often measures less than 2.0 cm and sometimes appears similar to high-grade dysplastic nodules on imaging. Histologically, it differs from dysplastic nodules because of stromal invasion. Radiologically, it has higher T2WI signal intensity, hypo- or iso- vascularization in the arterial phase, and washout appearance in the delayed phase. Mild restricted diffusion has improved the sensitivity for HCC detection, mostly for small HCC, especially well-differentiated HCC with atypical postcontrast imaging patterns.
Progressed HCCs are malignant lesions with the ability to invade vascular planes and metastasize. The radiological pattern is variable, but frequently a mosaic pattern is exhibited due to nodular areas being interspersed by areas of hemorrhage, arteriovenous shunting, fibrosis, and necrosis. The main findings are: high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging (Figures 3–4), hyperenhancement on arterial phase (Figures 3–7), washout appearance on delayed phase (Figures 3–5), and nodules that are surrounded by a capsule / pseudocapsule (more evident in the delayed phase) (Figure 3).

HCC may also be classified according to its growth patterns / macroscopic appearance into: single nodular type, well-defined, encapsulated with better prognosis; or multifocal type (multiple nodules in several hepatic segments), with a diffuse pattern, usually extensive, heterogeneous, with variable enhancement, usually better detected in the delayed phase (hypo-enhancement), and often associated with vascular invasion (Figure 4).35-37

Table 3 summarizes the main imaging features of HCC.

### Table 3. Main imaging features of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging features</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hyperenhancement</td>
<td>Increased enhancement in the arterial phase. Reflects tumor neoangiogenesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washout appearance</td>
<td>Hyperenhancement of the lesion compared with background liver tissue. Secondary to HCC extracellular reduced volume, rapid venous drainage and reduced intranodular portal venous supply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsule appearance</td>
<td>Observed in approximately 80% of HCCs, detected on delayed phase, secondary to the lack of portal supply to malignant nodules. Corresponds to a pseudocapsule consisting of compressed adjacent liver parenchyma with occasional nonspecific inflammatory cells on histology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal vein tumoral thrombosis</td>
<td>HCC invades and grows within the lumen. The vein appears dilated and with the same pattern of enhancement observed in the nodule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 hyperintensity</td>
<td>The elevated signal intensity on T2WI can be useful to differentiate HCC from dysplastic nodules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted diffusion</td>
<td>Mildly elevated signal relative to the surrounding liver parenchyma on diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) and low signal intensity on apparent diffusion coefficients (ADC) map.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona enhancement</td>
<td>Enhancement of the peritumoral parenchyma after enhancement of the tumor itself, because of the passage of contrast through the draining sinusoids and portal venules into the surrounding sinusoids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralesional fat</td>
<td>Loss of signal on the opposed-phase T1WI compared with the in-phase images.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion iron sparing</td>
<td>Siderotic nodule is likely to be a dysplastic nodule. Development of an iron-free area around the nodule suggests HCC foci.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic architecture</td>
<td>Nodular areas interspersed by areas of fibrosis, hemorrhage, arteriovenous shunting and necrosis. Characteristic of progressed HCCs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodule-in-nodule architecture</td>
<td>Mildly elevated signal intensity on T2WI within nodule with low signal intensity, representing the focus of HCC within the low density dysplastic nodule, that may also enhance in the arterial phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional phase hypointensity</td>
<td>Hypointensity compared with background liver following administration of a hepatobiliary contrast agent (2–5 minutes after contrast media administration).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatobiliary phase hypointensity</td>
<td>Hypointensity compared with background liver following administration of a hepatobiliary contrast agent (20 minutes after).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1WI = T1 weighted image; T2WI = T2 weighted image
show atypical patterns of enhancement with lack of or poor arterial phase enhancement and persistent enhancement in the venous and delayed phases (Figure 7).38,39 Differential diagnoses are benign hypervascular lesions (e.g., hemangioma, focal nodular hyperplasia, and adenomas) and hypervascular metastasis.

**Hypovascular nodules**: Only about 10% of HCC are hypovascular38 and the diagnosis can be challenging. However, in a patient with high risk to develop HCC, hypovascular nodules are suspicious.

**Diffuse hepatocellular carcinoma**

Diffuse hepatocellular carcinoma is a rare aggressive form of HCC characterized by poorly defined margins and atypical enhancement patterns (mild heterogeneous enhancement, most commonly hypovascular). Frequently, there is involvement of the portal and hepatic veins with thrombosis (Figure 8).38

**Hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic liver**

Twenty percent of HCCs may occur in a non-cirrhotic liver. The radiological appearance of such HCCs is larger, well-demarcated, solitary lesions with large areas of necrosis; they are usually diagnosed at a later stage.40
Differential diagnosis

Although arterial hyperenhancement is considered the most consistent feature of HCC, it is also present in other non-malignant lesions especially small non-malignant ones, which contributes to the high incidence of false positives.

Vascular disorders

Transient arterial enhancement due to focal obstruction of a distal parenchymal portal vein or nontumorous arteriportal shunts, for example, is often seen in the cirrhotic liver. Usually, these vascular disorders are peripheral, wedge-shaped lesions, isointense to surrounding parenchyma on pre-contrast images and do not present restricted diffusion or displace internal vasculature.36-38

Focal confluent hepatic fibrosis

Observed in end-stage liver disease, focal confluent hepatic fibrosis can be mass-like and mistaken for HCC once it presents similar low signal intensity relative to the liver on T1WI and hyperintensity on T2WI. However, unlike HCC, it is usually associated with atrophy and capsular retraction of the affected segment, as well as delayed contrast enhancement.36-38

Hemangiomas, focal nodular hyperplasia, and hepatic adenomas

Other benign lesions such as hemangiomas, focal nodular hyperplasia, and hepatic adenomas are rare in the cirrhotic liver, probably because of the process of cirrhosis, and they can be difficult to distinguish from HCC.36-38

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma usually shows rim enhancement with progressive and concentric filling of contrast material in the later phases, which would be an atypical pattern of enhancement for HCC (Figure 9). Other features more commonly associated with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma than HCC are intrahepatic biliary duct dilation distal to the tumor and associated capsular retraction. Association with tumoral thrombosis is rare and when narrowing or obstruction of the portal vein occurs, the latter are usually due to external compression.18

Hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma

Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (cHCC-CC) is a rare variant of primary hepatic cancer that is clinically and pathologically distinct from pure HCC. Imaging features are variable depending on the predominant histologic component, and although they overlap more frequently with those of cholangiocarcinoma, they can also mimic HCC.18,40,41 cHCC-CC may appear hypointense on T1WI and iso to hyperintense on T2WI with or without central hypointense focus, which represents a central cholangiocarcinoma or fibrotic component.19,43 On dynamic imaging, early ring enhancement with centripetal progression or heterogeneous early enhancement with partial washout are possible presentations.41 On MR imaging with a hepatocellular agent, irregular shape, strong peripheral enhancement, and absence of target sign favor cHCC-CC, particularly the HCC predominant type.42
Hypervascular metastases

Hypervascular metastases may also be a diagnostic challenge and typically arise from primary neuroendocrine tumors (pancreatic islet cell tumor, carcinoid tumor, and pheochromocytoma), renal cell carcinoma, thyroid carcinoma, choriocarcinoma, and melanoma. They are generally irregular with indistinct margins and hyperintense on T2WI with a central cystic or necrotic component and with a variable sign on T1WI, depending on the presence of blood, melanin, and other substances that present high signal on this sequence. On dynamic imaging, they show perilesional rim enhancement and irregular washout on delayed images.

MRI after locoregional therapy of HCC

Surgical resection or transplantation is the standard treatment of HCC. However, most patients are not eligible for resection and the waiting list for liver transplantation is long. Locoregional therapies can be performed as curative treatment, mainly in small HCC, or as a bridge before transplantation. The goal of locoregional therapy is to achieve tumor necrosis. Overall, treated tumors appear with no internal enhancement on postcontrast phases and viable tumors may have areas of arterial phase hyperenhancement with or without washout ap-
Table 4 shows the main systems used to assess tumor response after locoregional treatment.

**Diagnostic performance**

The imaging diagnosis of HCC using only the features on dynamic MRI is highly specific. The overall MRI sensitivity for detection of HCC is 81%, against 68% using CT.46 The dynamic contrast-enhanced arterial phase is the most sensitive and specific sequence (≥95%).47

MRI is especially sensitive for the detection of lesions larger than 2 cm. On the other hand, for the detection of small tumors, although MRI still outperforms CT, the sensitivity remains disappointing.48-53 This can be explained by the high frequency of atypical enhancement patterns these small lesions present.52 Regarding contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS), it is not recommended as a first-line imaging technique, but improvements have been made in the differential diagnosis of cholangiocarcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma and some studies have shown it to be more specific than CT and MRI for nodules between 10 and 20 mm.12 Table 5 summarizes and compares the sensitivity of MRI, CT, and CEUS for the detection of HCC according to tumor size.51

As an attempt to improve the performance of MRI among small HCC, the combined use of DWI with conventional dynamic MRI54 as well as the use of contrast agents other than gadolinium-based contrast media have been proposed.55 The combination of super-paramagnetic iron oxide particles with gadolinium-based contrast media have been shown to increase the sensitivity for the detection of HCC measuring 1–2 cm to 92%.56

After local therapies, MRI has also shown to be specific but not sensitive for the detection of small foci of recurrent or residual tumor.57,58 In this context, DWI has shown promising results for evaluating response to treatment.

### Future directions

**Radiomics**

Advances in technology have allowed for quantitative features to be extracted from imaging scans, adding value to clinical decision-making. In oncology, quantitative radiomics features may allow for the assessment of tumor characteristics including cellularity, perfusion, and oxygenation that can help in characterizing tumors characterization, assessing treatment response, and predicting treatment response. Considering that MRI involves different sequences with several physical mechanisms, the use of MRI in radiomics is promising.59

**Radiogenomics**

Both quantitative and qualitative data extracted from imaging scans can also be correlated with genetic profiles. It has been demonstrated that imaging phenotypes reflect underlying genomics59 and can guide the treatment of those patients, which is important in the new era of personalized medicine.

**Positron emission tomography (PET)-MRI**

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)-MRI combines high contrast and anatomical resolution from MRI with wide metabolic properties from PET. This technique is promising considering several new radiotracers. PET-MRI could be especially beneficial for evaluating tumor characteristics.60-62

While conventional imaging modalities (MRI and CT) are preferable for detecting HCC, PET can offer additional information about functional or metabolic characteristics of the tumor. Several radiotracers have been used to achieve this objective, including 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) to estimate glucose consumption and choline labelled with either 11C (Cho) or 18F (FCho) to reflect cell membrane metabolism and tumor proliferation.63-65

18F-FDG is the most widely used radiotracer in oncology and has great sensitivity for detecting metastases from most cancers. FDG uptake correlates with the degree of HCC differentiation, with a higher avidity for poorly differentiated HCC.66 On the other hand, choline shows strong avidity for HCC, especially in well and moderately-differentiated tumors.68 Some studies showed that a dual-tracer PET using FDG and choline has the best performance to detect HCC as these tracers complement each other in the detection of HCC based on its histological differentiation. This combination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>CEUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size ≥ 2 cm</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size &lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CEUS = contrast-enhanced ultrasound
may also be a prognostic indicator, with worst outcomes associated with FDG-PET captation.68

Perfusion MRI

Perfusion MRI is a function imaging technique that can provide quantitative data regarding tumor microvasculature. Several studies demonstrated that perfusion MRI can assess tumor response after locoregional therapies, such as transcatheter arterial chemoembolization and radiofrequency ablation.69,70 Perfusion MRI can detect vasculature changes of HCC before and after therapy. It is a promising tool in the diagnosis of HCC, as it can be used to target lesions for therapy, to evaluate the efficacy of the treatments and to evaluate recurrence.69-71

Conclusions

In summary, MRI is an essential imaging modality in the diagnostic arsenal of HCC and is especially indicated for the evaluation of small lesions, unclear lesions on CT, and lesions after locoregional therapies. Considering the multiple sequences included on MRI, there is a huge potential to extract several imaging biomarkers that reflect the tumor microenvironment and which, in the future, may add decision-making value in the management of patients with HCC.
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